

THE EFFECTS OF STORAGE DURATION AND TEMPERATURE ON THE QUALITY OF FOLIAGE POTTED PLANTS DURING SIMULATED TRANSPORTATION

Joanna Cho Lee Ying
Horticulture Research Centre, MARDI HQ
Persiaran MARDI-UPM, 43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
Email: joanna@mardi.gov.my

Masnira Mohammad Yusoff
Horticulture Research Centre, MARDI HQ
Persiaran MARDI-UPM, 43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
Email: masnira@mardi.gov.my

Sakinah Idris
Horticulture Research Centre, MARDI HQ
Persiaran MARDI-UPM, 43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
Email: sakinah@mardi.gov.my

Hanim Ahmad
Industrial Crop Research Centre, MARDI HQ
Persiaran MARDI-UPM, 43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
Email: hanim@mardi.gov.my

Nur Izzati Muhsin
Horticulture Research Centre, MARDI HQ
Persiaran MARDI-UPM, 43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
Email: nrizzati@mardi.gov.my

Mohamad Abhar Akmal Hamid
Horticulture Research Centre, MARDI HQ
Persiaran MARDI-UPM, 43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
Email: abhar@mardi.gov.my

ABSTRACT

In this study, five types of foliage potted plants (Alocasia reginula, Leudeboria socialis, Leudeboria petiolata, Tradescantia spathacea and Peperomia puteolata) were stored under different temperature during simulated transportation. The commercial-stage plants of each selected varieties were kept in the dark at 5, 10, 15 and 20 °C without watering. After 3-4 days interval, the potted plants were removed and placed at retail temperature (25 ± 2 °C). The quality of the potted plants was assessed in term of quality scoring, SPAD value and colour (lightness, chroma and hue). The results in this study suggested that all plants are not suitable to store at 5 °C as they exhibited chilling symptoms after removal. Storage temperatures of 15 - 20 °C in the dark is recommended for all foliage potted plants up to 10 days without watering in this study. Overall, potted plants should be stored and shipped for a brief duration at optimum conditions with minimal quality deterioration.

Keywords: dark storage, chilling injury, chlorophyll, leaf wilting, ornamental

INTRODUCTION

Loss of quality of foliage potted plants is a major problem in the ornamental distribution chain due to leaf wilting and defoliation, resulting in significant losses (Reid and Jiang, 2012). During transportation, potted plants can be adversely affected by high temperatures, exposure to ethylene, or darkness, promoting petal abscission and leaf yellowing which lead to considerable product losses (Ferrante et al., 2015). According to Toscano et al. (2018), the critical stages in post-production of ornamental plants usually occurred during transportation and storage in the warehouse and during the recovery stage in retailer's shops and end-user. The lack of specific care of ornamental potted plants during transport and storage and the quality is often compromised. The commercial value and quality of flowering and foliage plants could be adversely affected by high temperature, exposure to ethylene, prolonged dark storage leading to petal abscission and leaf yellowing thus increasing product losses in the market.

Temperature management is one of the best methods to control product quality in the distribution chain of ornamental potted plants. The optimum temperature and the optimum length of storage and transportation duration of potted plants need to be determined by considering the tolerance threshold of the species. Plants transported by sea shipment is considered the most economical method compared to air shipment but required a longer time. Long-term transportation issues related with long term storage namely leaf and flowering discoloration and abscission, failure of buds to open, terminal growth, and disease infection (Rudnicki et al., 1991). Furthermore, there is a scarcity of knowledge about foliage potted plants, which mostly pertains to 1980s research (Ferrante et al., 2015). Because the health of the leaves (foliage greenness) are often linked to the plant's commercial value, it is vital to gain new

information on the storage and transportation of foliage potted plants to avoid losses during the distribution chain. The main objective of this study was to investigate the effect of different temperature to improve the display life of five ornamental foliage potted plants during simulated transportation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Five types of ornamental potted plants *Alocasia reginula*, *Leudeboria socialis*, *Leudeoboria petiolata*, *Tradescantia spathacea* and *Peperomia puteolata* were used in this study. The plants were conditioned with proper agronomy practices and grown to reach commercial-stage with 6-9 leaves per plant and watered a day before transporting to Postharvest Complex, MARDI Serdang, Selangor within one hour. The selection of good quality ornamental potted plants were packed in corrugated boxes and then kept in the dark at 5, 10, 15 and 20 °C (relative humidity: 85-90%) without water for two weeks. The aim of different temperatures and storage time was to investigate the effect of transportation on plant quality at both optimal and suboptimal temperatures. Immediately after storage, the plants were watered and placed at room temperature (25 ± 2 °C) to simulate a display period for 3 to 4 days in the retail market. For each combination of storage time and temperature, four plants were stored.

Quality scoring of foliage potted plants

The quality of the potted plants was scored both immediately after storage (so just before the display period), and after a display period of 3 days according to Tromp et al. (2015) with some modifications (Table 1). Foliage plants were scored based on the quality of the leaves (yellowing, fungal infection, abscised, chilling injury (dark spot on leaves)).

Table 1: Quality of foliage potted ornamental plants

| Quality score | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 9 | Very good; less than 10 % defects on foliage |
| 8 | Good; less than 20% defectson foliage |
| 7 | Fairly ok; minor less than 30 % defects on foliage |
| 6 | Sufficient; less than 40% defects on foliage |
| 5 | Insufficient; more than 40% defects on foliage- and/or abscised leaves |
| ≤ 4 | Bad and unmarketable; serious defects on foliage |

Leaf colour measurement

Average leaf colour (three leaves per plant) was measured by using a portable chromameter (model CR-400 Minolta Corp., Osaka, Japan). The L^* value ranged from 0 = black to 100 = white. Chroma (C^*) is the intensity or purity of the hue. The h° is an angle in a colour wheel of 360°, with 0° or 360° representing red hue, whilst angles of 90°, 180° and 270° represent yellow, green and blue hues, respectively. The results were presented as lightness (L^*), colour intensity (C^*) and colour appearance parameter, hue (h°). The light projection aperture was positioned directly on top center of the leaves and three readings from three separate leaves per plant were taken.

SPAD value measurement

Three mature leaves were selected from each plant and three SPAD readings were then taken from the center of each of three leaves using a SPAD-502 meter (Konica-Minolta, Japan). Three measurements per leaf were averaged to provide a single leaf observation value to indicate foliage greenness or the relative chlorophyll content of leaves according to Wang et al. (2005) with slight modification.

Statistical analysis

The experiment was a completely randomized design with three replicates of three plants. The data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) procedure at $P < 0.05$ to test the differences between treatment means (SAS ver. 9.4).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Alocasia reginula, *Leudeboria socialis*, *Leudeoboria petiolata*, *Tradescantia spathacea* and *Peperomia puteolata* are valued for its decorative foliage as indoor plants. *A. reginula* (Figure 1a) is known for its velvety, dark and broad leaf. *L. socialis* (Figure 1b) has stalkless, broadly lance-shaped succulent type of green leaves with black spots whereas *L. petiolata* (Figure 1c) is a small hardy bulb with dark spots on glossy, dark green, heart-shaped leaves. *T. spathacea* (Figure 1d) have leaves that are green on the upper side and vividly purple underneath. *P. puteolata* (Figure 1e) is a semi-succulent plant with small whorled ovate leaves patterned in dark green and white venation and are commonly grown as decorative plants in hanging baskets. Since they are grouped under sub and tropical plants, they tend to be more tolerant of lower light with minimal care and watering. These plants vary in size, form, and leaf shape. Due to these variations, these plants interacted differently when placed under different storage temperatures and duration in the dark without water.

In Table 2, all plants were evaluated with an average score of 5.33 indicating the plants have foliage defects and/or abscised leaves when kept at the lowest temperature (5 °C). Most of the plants started to exhibit signs of chilling injury after 6 days of cold storage such as leaf defoliation (*P. puteolata*) and the appearance of dark water-soaked areas on leaves (*L. petiolata* and *P. puteolata* plants). Plants such as *A. reginula* and *T. spathacea* were able to tolerate 10 – 20 °C with a score ranging from 6 – 8 (Table 2a and 2d). Plants of *L. socialis*, *L. petiolata* and *P. puteolata* are most suited to be transported at 15 – 20 °C with a score ranging from 6 -7 (Table 2b, 2c and 2e, respectively). When stored under a prolonged period, *L. socialis*, *L. petiolata* and *P. puteolata* were able to withstand 6 days and 9 days for plants of *A. reginula* and *T. spathacea* in the dark without water. After the recommendation period, most of the plants began to exhibit leaf senescence (wilting and abscission) due to drought stress with a score of 5 and below (Table 2). Quality losses in potted plants due to wilting is a typical problem in the ornamental distribution chain as plants begin to lose water by evapotranspiration (Reid and Jiang, 2012). Drought stress and water deficit would increase leaf senescence when the amount of water in the growth media is inadequate to replace the water lost via transpiration (Luca et al., 2021). Hence, it is vital to provide sufficient water in foliage potted plants to avoid drying of growing media during the distribution chain since it would eventually affect the foliage quality and pricing.

In this experiment, *A. reginula* (Table 2a) and *L. socialis* (Table 2b) recorded the highest SPAD value at 5 and 10 °C. Plants of *L. petiolata*, *T. spathacea* and *P. puteolata* showed constant SPAD value ($p > 0.05$) at all temperatures (Table 2c, 2d and 2e, respectively). Compared to other plants, the leaves of *A. reginula* and *L. socialis* were thicker. The loss of chlorophyll in the leaves was significantly lower for plants kept at 5 and 10 °C but chilling injury symptoms were more apparent after a display period of 3 days at ambient temperature for both plants. In terms of storage duration, SPAD value of *A. reginula* (Table 2a) and *L. petiolata* (Table 2c) decreased significantly ($p < 0.05$) with storage time whereas plants of *T. spathaceae* have a significantly lower SPAD value at the end of storage (Table 2d). The decrease of SPAD value in these three plants suggested the onset of plant senescence with the decreasing chlorophyll content in the leaves. No significant changes in SPAD value were recorded for *L. socialis* (Table 2b) and *P. puteolata* (Table 2e) during storage. The onset of senescence of *P. puteolata* is mostly due to leaf defoliation and not yellowing during storage. For *L. socialis* plants, the leaves tend to rot due to their succulent characteristics. However, the readings might not be taken accurately since SPAD readings were also influenced by the specific part of the foliage and uneven distribution of the chlorophyll within the leaf (Yuan et al., 2016). Besides that, SPAD readings may also be affected by specific leaf area and thickness as reported by Yamamoto et al. (2003) in sorghum and pigeon pea and other factors such as cultivar, year, growth stage and leaf position in rice plants (AtaUl-Karim et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2014).

The leaf colour (L^* , C^* and h°) of *A. reginula* (Table 2a) and *P. puteolata* (Table 2e) recorded no changes ($P > 0.05$) at all temperatures since the colour of the plants were well maintained in the dark during prolonged storage. The only negative quality change observed for these plants were due to chilling injury (severe leaf defoliation). At sub-optimal temperature, plants of *L. socialis* and *L. petiolata* tend to have darker green foliage as indicated by significantly ($p < 0.05$) lower L^* and C^* values, and higher h° value (Table 2b and 2c) due to chilling stress. However, when the temperature increased to optimal storage temperature (15 and 20 °C), the L^* and C^* values increased along with a decrease in h° value indicating the leaves were lighter and less intense in terms of colour appearance. This might also indicate the onset of plant senescence when green foliage appeared to be more prone to yellowing or wilting. Plants of *T. spathaceae* also showed a similar trend in terms of C^* and h° value except for L^* value (Table 2d). The leaf colour of *T. spathaceae* showed constant L^* ($p > 0.05$) indicating there are no changes in lightness in all storage temperatures. The L^* and C^* increased significantly with storage duration ($P < 0.05$) indicating a lighter colour of *A. reginula*, *L. socialis* and *T. spathaceae*. The h° value of *A. reginula* remained unchanged ($P < 0.05$) due to its dark velvety leaf whereas there was a significant drop ($P < 0.05$) in both *L. socialis* and *T. spathaceae* plants indicating as an indication of degreening associated with degradation of chlorophyll content (Toivonen and Brummell, 2008). However, at the end of the storage, visual observation of the leaves was found to be more brown than yellow due to wilting.

During prolonged storage, some of the plants exhibit leaf wilting due to drought stress and lack of light even at optimal temperature (15 – 20 °C). Due to a lack of light during transportation, foliage potted plants were forced to expend their energy reserves by respiring, which caused leaf senescence initiation, which resulted in leaf abscission (Ferrante and Reid, 2006; Tromp et al., 2015). Besides that, the presence of ethylene in the storage environment might also cause the leaf to abscise even at extremely low concentrations e.g. 0.1 ppm (Macnish et al., 2011). Low-temperature storage is an important factor enabling the preservation of freshly cut flowers without a great loss of their quality but it may be detrimental to potted plants originated in subtropical or tropical regions. Optimum shipping temperature for potted plants in these regions usually falls in the range of 15 - 18 °C to avoid chilling injury (Rudnicki et al., 1991) and high relative humidity to avoid excessive desiccation (Tromp et al., 2015).

CONCLUSION

To conclude, the quality deterioration of foliage potted plants relied on the storage temperature and storage duration. Storage temperatures of 15 - 20 °C in the dark is recommended for all foliage potted plants up to 10 days without watering in this study. Overall, foliage potted plants should be stored and shipped for a brief duration at optimum conditions with minimal quality deterioration.

Figure 1: Types of foliage potted plants a) *Alocasia reginula* b) *Leudeboria socialis* c) *Leudeboria petiolata* d) *Tradescantia spathacea* e) *Peperomia puteolata*



Table 2 : Effects of different temperature on the leaf colour, SPAD content and quality scoring of a) *Alocasia reginula*, b) *Leudeboria socialis*, c) *Leudeboria petiolata*, d) *Tradescantia spathacea* and e) *Peperomia puteolata* during storage

| <i>a. Alocasia reginula</i> | Quality score | SPAD value | Colour | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | <i>L*</i> | <i>C*</i> | <i>h*</i> |
| Storage temperature | | | | | |
| 5°C | 5.15c | 69.02a | 28.78a | 2.41a | 150.10a |
| 10°C | 6.55b | 61.66b | 28.80a | 2.39a | 149.90a |
| 15°C | 6.80a | 58.90c | 27.91a | 2.25a | 148.82a |
| 20°C | 6.90a | 55.24c | 28.15a | 2.11a | 149.35a |
| F-significant | ** | ** | ns | ns | ns |
| Storage duration | | | | | |
| D0 | 8.31a | 69.73a | 27.55c | 2.57b | 147.21a |
| D3 | 7.66b | 62.18b | 29.88b | 2.68b | 145.19a |
| D6 | 6.50c | 59.60bc | 28.90b | 2.86b | 144.31a |
| D9 | 5.19d | 55.83cd | 29.11b | 3.17a | 143.92a |
| D12 | 4.09e | 53.32d | 33.80a | 3.38a | 145.81a |
| F-significant | ** | ** | ** | ** | ns |

| <i>b. Leudeboria socialis</i> | Quality score | SPAD value | Colour | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | <i>L*</i> | <i>C*</i> | <i>h*</i> |
| Storage temperature | | | | | |
| 5°C | 5.37c | 51.01a | 33.68c | 17.82c | 128.57a |
| 10°C | 5.45c | 49.77a | 35.61b | 18.74b | 126.28b |
| 15°C | 6.50b | 44.49b | 37.83b | 20.10b | 126.11b |
| 20°C | 7.00a | 43.78b | 39.41a | 22.37a | 123.74c |
| F-significant | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Storage duration | | | | | |
| D0 | 9.00a | 53.11a | 33.89b | 16.25c | 128.77a |
| D3 | 9.00a | 52.36a | 34.77b | 16.09c | 129.46a |
| D6 | 6.60b | 53.04a | 34.74b | 15.97c | 129.83a |
| D9 | 5.60c | 51.90a | 32.44b | 19.64b | 128.09a |
| D12 | 4.00d | 37.82b | 43.41a | 27.46a | 119.55b |
| F-significant | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |

| <i>c. Leudeboria petiolata</i> | Quality score | SPAD value | Colour | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | <i>L*</i> | <i>C*</i> | <i>h*</i> |
| Storage temperature | | | | | |
| 5°C | 5.37b | 44.56a | 38.79b | 20.91b | 126.86a |
| 10°C | 5.75b | 43.23a | 40.91a | 22.26a | 126.05b |
| 15°C | 6.50a | 42.54a | 40.64a | 21.89a | 126.56ab |
| 20°C | 6.48a | 42.03a | 40.40a | 22.20a | 126.32b |
| F-significant | ** | ns | ** | ** | * |
| Storage duration | | | | | |
| D0 | 9.00a | 45.20a | 39.31a | 22.19a | 126.00a |
| D3 | 9.00a | 44.15a | 39.43a | 22.36a | 126.16a |
| D6 | 6.60b | 41.62b | 40.31a | 22.21a | 126.41a |
| D9 | 5.55c | 41.25b | 40.33a | 21.52a | 126.42a |
| D12 | 3.95d | 42.10b | 41.33a | 22.15a | 126.64a |
| F-significant | ** | ** | ns | ns | ns |

| <i>d. Tradescantia spathacea</i> | Quality score | SPAD value | Colour | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | <i>L*</i> | <i>C*</i> | <i>h*</i> |
| Storage temperature | | | | | |
| 5°C | 5.50b | 40.49a | 34.90a | 17.10b | 125.35a |
| 10°C | 7.00a | 38.94a | 36.19a | 19.56a | 124.33ab |
| 15°C | 7.00a | 37.96a | 35.34a | 19.79a | 123.76bc |
| 20°C | 8.00a | 38.95a | 35.98a | 20.11a | 124.56c |
| F-significant | ** | ns | ns | ** | ** |
| Storage duration | | | | | |
| D0 | 9.00a | 41.23a | 37.58a | 17.64c | 123.11a |
| D3 | 9.00a | 42.34a | 37.55a | 17.44c | 123.24a |
| D6 | 7.75b | 41.23a | 36.04b | 16.05c | 125.23a |
| D9 | 6.20c | 39.15a | 33.66c | 20.65b | 124.33a |
| D12 | 5.35d | 33.13b | 32.72c | 23.57a | 122.00b |
| F-significant | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |

| <i>e. Peperomia puteolata</i> | Quality score | SPAD value | Colour | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | <i>L*</i> | <i>C*</i> | <i>h*</i> |
| Storage temperature | | | | | |
| 5°C | 5.25b | 43.88a | 38.83a | 27.11a | 125.03a |
| 10°C | 7.00a | 41.63a | 37.43a | 24.96a | 125.78a |
| 15°C | 7.00a | 39.94a | 39.19a | 26.56a | 125.09a |
| 20°C | 7.13a | 43.75a | 39.10a | 26.57a | 125.80a |
| F-significant | ** | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Storage duration | | | | | |
| D0 | 9.00a | 43.25a | 39.04a | 27.13a | 124.55a |
| D3 | 9.00a | 43.11a | 37.51a | 26.64a | 125.02a |
| D6 | 7.75b | 41.47a | 39.70a | 26.68a | 125.95a |
| D9 | 5.90c | 40.57a | 38.06a | 25.84a | 125.60a |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| D12 | 5.15d | 42.55a | 38.86a | 25.22a | 126.00a |
| F-significant | ** | ns | ns | ns | ns |

Mean values in the same column followed by different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) using Duncan Multiple Range Test.

REFERENCES

- Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Xia, Y., Liu, X., Cao, W. & Yan, Z. (2013). Development of critical nitrogen dilution curve of Japonica rice in Yangtze River Reaches. *Field Crops Res.* 149, 149–158
- Ferrante, A. & Reid, M., 2006. Postharvest physiology of cut flowers. *Italus Hortus*, 13, 29–41.
- Ferrante, A., Trivellini, A., Scuderi, D., Romano, D. & Vernieri, P. (2015). Post-production physiology and handling of ornamental potted plants. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 100, 99–108.
- Hu, Y., Yang, J. P., Lv, Y. M. & He, J. J. (2014). SPAD values and nitrogen nutrition index for the evaluation of rice nitrogen status. *Plant Prod. Sci.*, 17, 81–92.
- Luca, A., Edelenbos, M., Mahajan, P. V. & Petersen, K. K. (2021). Modified humidity packaging of potted roses. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 275, doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109697
- Macnish, A.J., Leonard, R.T. & Nell, T.A. (2011). Sensitivity of potted foliage plant genotypes to ethylene and 1-methylcyclopropene. *HortScience*, 46, 1127–1131.
- Reid, M.S & Jiang, C.Z. (2012). Postharvest Biology and Technology of Cut Flowers and Potted Plants. In: *Horticultural Reviews Volume 40*. Janick, J. (ed). Pp. 1-54
- Rudnicki, R. M., Nowak, J., & Goszczynska, D. M. (1991). Cold storage and transportation conditions for cut flowers cuttings and potted plants. *Acta Horticulturae*, 298, 225–236.
- Toscano, S., Trivellini, A., Ferrante, A., & Romano, D. (2018). Physiological mechanisms for delaying the leaf yellowing of potted geranium plants. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 242, 146–154.
- Toivonen P.M.A. & Brummell D.A. (2008). Biochemical bases of appearance and texture changes in fresh-cut and vegetables. *Postharvest Biol Technol.*, 48:1–14.
- Wang, Q., Chen, J., Stamps, R. H. & Li, Y. (2005). Correlation of Visual Quality Grading and SPAD Reading of Green-Leaved Foliage Plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 28 (7), 1215–1225.
- Yamamoto, A., Nakamura, T., Adu-Gyamfi, J. J & Saigusa, M. (2003). Relationship between chlorophyll content in leaves of sorghum and pigeon pea determined by extraction method and by chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502). *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 25, 2295–2301.
- Yuan, Z., Cao, Q., Zhang, K., Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Tian, Y., Zhu, Y., Cao, W. & Liu, X. (2016). Optimal Leaf Positions for SPAD Meter Measurement in Rice. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 719.